

RatingsDirect®

Research Update:

First Nations Finance Authority Ratings Raised To 'A+' From 'A-' After Criteria Revision; Off UCO; Outlook Stable

Primary Credit Analyst:

Julia L Smith, Toronto (1) 416-507-3236; julia.smith@spglobal.com

Secondary Contact:

Stephen Ogilvie, Toronto (1) 416-507-2524; stephen.ogilvie@spglobal.com

Table Of Contents

Overview

Rating Action

Outlook

Rationale

Related Criteria

Related Research

Ratings List

Research Update:

First Nations Finance Authority Ratings Raised To 'A+' From 'A-' After Criteria Revision; Off UCO; Outlook Stable

Overview

- We have completed our review of the ratings on First Nations Finance Authority (FNFA), a public-sector funding agency that lends to the Canadian First Nation sector, following the release of our "Public-Sector Funding Agencies: Methodology And Assumptions" criteria.
- We had placed the ratings on the authority Under Criteria Observation (UCO) on May 22, 2018, in conjunction with the criteria's release.
- After our review, we have determined that the FNFA's substantial capital levels, solid risk management to protect asset quality and assistance from the federal government support the authority's growing track record of providing access to capital by First Nations.
- As a result, we are raising our long-term issuer credit and issue-level ratings on the FNFA to 'A+' from 'A-'.
- The stable outlook reflects our expectation that the authority's lending portfolio to First Nations in Canada will continue rising, supporting the FNFA's important public policy role to the federal government.

Rating Action

On Aug. 3, 2018, S&P Global Ratings raised its long-term issuer credit and issue-level ratings on public-sector funding agency First Nations Finance Authority (FNFA) to 'A+' from 'A-'. S&P Global Ratings removed the ratings from under criteria observation (UCO), where they were placed May 22, 2018. The outlook is stable.

Outlook

The stable outlook reflects our expectation that, in the next two years, the FNFA will continue the strong pace of its loan growth to First Nations in Canada that it has had since the authority began lending in 2012. We believe that First Nations' financing needs, which far surpass the FNFA's current lending capacity, will facilitate this growth well past the rating horizon. In turn, this expansion will reaffirm the authority's policy role, and its strong and supportive relationship with the Government of Canada, without jeopardizing the FNFA's strong financial profile. During this period, we expect the authority's liquid assets to grow in line with liabilities such

that the FNFA maintains adequate liquidity ratios and continued access to Canada's deep and diversified bond market.

Downside scenario

We could lower our ratings over the next two years if we revised the likelihood of extraordinary support from the federal government to low if the importance of the authority's policy role to the government diminished, or if the government's oversight of the authority lessened. Significantly deteriorating asset quality that suggests persistently weak underwriting decisions and management practices could lead us to lower our management and governance assessment to weak, which would also lead to a downgrade. However, we view these scenarios as unlikely over the rating horizon.

Upside scenario

We could raise our ratings over the next two years if the FNFA's lengthening track record of lending to the First Nations sector in Canada led to a stronger business profile or stronger self-generated income, eliminating the authority's ongoing dependence on the federal government and improving its stand-alone credit profile (SACP). As well, we could raise our ratings if we were to revise the likelihood of extraordinary support from the federal government to high or greater because of increased importance of the FNFA's public policy role or strong direction by the federal government in the authority's strategy development and day-to-day operations.

Rationale

The 'A+' rating on the FNFA reflects our view of the authority's high levels of capital, prudent structural mechanisms, and strong risk management. In our view, these strengths enhance asset quality despite the FNFA's operations in a sector that has an evolving institutional framework and its shorter track record of operations compared with that of other rated public sector funding agencies. This, in turn, has led to low profitability that has caused the authority to depend on the federal government for ongoing support, including through operational funding and capital transfers. These factors underpin the 'a' SACP on the authority.

The ratings further reflect our belief that the FNFA benefits from a moderately high likelihood of receiving extraordinary support from the federal government, resulting in a one-notch uplift to the SACP for the final 'A+' rating. We believe the authority has a strong link with the federal government, which the government's funding support for its operations demonstrates. We also believe the FNFA plays an important role for the government via its statutory mandate and public policy role to facilitate access to capital by First Nations. Also supporting our assessment of the authority's important role is the political visibility of First Nations' issues generally, and the considerable need for capital on First Nations' land.

The FNFA is a financial intermediary that supports access to credit for First Nations in Canada. It borrows in the capital markets and on-lends the proceeds to its borrowers, who are exclusively First Nations. Borrowers use the loan proceeds for capital infrastructure on their lands, and secure the loan with a pledged revenue stream. The authority began lending to First Nations in 2012 and issued its inaugural bond in 2014. Although it was created via federal legislation, the FNFA is not an agent of the Government of Canada. Nevertheless, we believe the authority benefits from a strong and supportive relationship with Canada.

Enterprise risk profile: Evolving First Nations sector and business position supported by strong underlying revenues and risk management

- We expect the historically evolving and unbalanced institutional framework for the First Nations sector in Canada to benefit from new reform efforts over the next several years, while First Nations operate in disparate economies across Canada, and pledged revenue streams originate from entities with low financial system risk.
- The FNFA's business position has been limited by its relatively short lending history, although we expect that strong loan growth will support revenue stability and demonstrate a growing customer base.
- We believe that the authority's relatively small, although growing, staff will continue to implement strong risk management policies and sufficient strategic positioning.

We believe that the FNFA will continue to benefit from solid underlying asset quality. The authority lends exclusively to First Nations communities in Canada. There are over 600 First Nations in the country with capital needs that some have estimated are more than C\$20 billion. Those needs are typical of all Canadian communities: infrastructure (water and roads), community-owned housing, equipment, and economic development.

In our view, the institutional framework under which First Nations in Canada operate has historically been weaker than that of other local and regional governments in the country. The frequency and extent of reforms affecting the division of responsibility and revenues between First Nations and other levels of government in Canada have been evolving for over a century. The historical and existing fiscal and legal structures have led to large socioeconomic gaps between First Nations and Canada in part due to weak equalization mechanisms. Therefore, in our view, the sector's economic resilience is somewhat weaker than that of Canada overall, particularly given the disparities in income per capita across First Nations.

Nevertheless, we believe the institutional framework for First Nations is improving. The federal government and the Assembly of First Nations are developing a new fiscal relationship that seeks to address some of the system's historical weaknesses. At the same time, there is a prudent

legislative framework in place for FNFA borrowers. Under this framework, First Nations that would like to borrow from the authority must receive a Financial Performance Certificate from the First Nations Financial Management Board indicating compliance with certain financial ratios, among other requirements. In addition, borrowers pledge revenue streams to support loan repayments. The sources of these streams directly deposit them in a secured revenues trust account (SRTA) that the FNFA uses to repay debt. The authority discounts pledged revenues according to the type of revenue. About 80% of these revenues come from either the federal or provincial governments. In our view, these revenues are subject to low financial system risk, in part due to strong regulatory standards and supervision in Canada.

In our view, the FNFA's business position is somewhat limited by the authority's shorter track record relative to that of more established public sector funding agencies; and small, although rapidly growing, loan book. The FNFA's loans to First Nations reached C\$454 million as of March 31, 2018, up from C\$309 million one year earlier. Nevertheless, we believe that the authority's solid competitive position will support healthy customer base growth over the next several years, continuing a trend that began when the FNFA started lending. Historically, access to capital has been a challenge for many First Nations. In recent years, access has improved, but some impediments to lenders remain, such as security or lenders' mandates. By statute, land or buildings on First Nations territories cannot be pledged as collateral. In addition, we believe that the authority has a strong price position relative to competitors.

We expect the FNFA's strategic positioning and organizational effectiveness to remain satisfactory, and good risk management practices and solid governance represent management strengths, in our view. The authority's management prepares an annual budget and internal loan portfolio and liquidity forecasts. While the FNFA is not subject to banking regulations or equivalent regulatory oversight, we believe that management has instituted strong financial and risk management policies that mitigate key risks.

Financial risk profile: Very high levels of capital and adequate funding and liquidity minimize financial risks

- The FNFA has very high capital ratios supported by the federal government's capital injections.
- We expect positive risk management practices to continue to minimize potential losses.
- Adequate liquidity levels and structural stability of funding balance some funding concentration.

Despite the authority's rapidly growing loan portfolio, we expect capitalization to remain very strong over the next two years. One of the FNFA's main sources of capital is its C\$30 million Credit Enhancement Fund (CEF), a loss-absorbing fund that the federal government has supported through multiple capital injections. We also consider the authority's C\$24 million

debt reserve fund (DRF) to be loss-absorbing. The FNFA withholds 5% of each member's loan request in the DRF, such that the funding has risen faster annually than the FNFA's interest obligations at current interest rates. Together, these funds reached about C\$54 million as of March 31, 2018, and contribute to the authority's 119% risk-adjusted capital ratio before adjustments and 32% capital ratio after adjustments. The main adjustment we make to the after-adjustment capital ratio is for concentration given that the FNFA's 10 largest borrowers represent 58% of its loan portfolio, while its 20 largest borrowers represent about 85%. Nevertheless, even after this adjustment, the authority's capital ratios remain very high and compare very favorably with those of other rated public sector funding agencies.

In addition to high capital levels, we believe that the FNFA will continue to benefit from strong risk management practices that will minimize potential losses in the future. The authority has never experienced defaults or arrears by borrowing members. Its intercept mechanisms, conservative risk tolerances, solid underwriting standards, and intervention powers enhance asset quality, in our opinion. The FNFA has intervention power under The First Nations Fiscal Management Act. If a First Nation is unable or unwilling to meet its debt service obligations under its loan agreement or replenish any shortfalls in the DRF, the authority can invoke the statutory intervention provision. If invoked, the FNFA, together with the First Nations Financial Management Board, can remove and replace the council of the defaulting First Nation, thereby gaining access to the nation's revenues, including those that cannot be pledged.

In addition to its other liquid funds and about C\$6 million in cash and cash equivalents, the authority held about C\$19 million in sinking funds as of March 31, 2018, which contribute to the authority's adequate levels of liquidity. The FNFA's ratio of adjusted assets to liabilities, not including scheduled loan disbursements, is about 1.3x, leading us to believe that the authority could lend to its borrowing members in a stress scenario, but that disbursements might be lower than anticipated. The Canadian bond market, which we consider deep and diversified, provides 100% of the FNFA's funding, making the authority's funding sources somewhat concentrated. Investor diversification is improving, however, based on investor type and domicile. As well, in our view, the FNFA's funding versus lending commitments are relatively stable. Although loan terms extend as long as 30 years while bonds mature in a shorter timeframe, the authority has sought to mitigate this mismatch through its revenue intercept mechanisms, adjustments to relending rates upon debt refinancing, and sinking funds, among other mechanisms. Furthermore, the FNFA's C\$175 million revolving credit facility boosts its liquidity position.

Moderately high likelihood of support from the federal government in a stress scenario

We view the likelihood that the Canadian federal government would provide timely and sufficient extraordinary support to the authority in the event of financial distress to be moderately high. We base this assessment on our view of the FNFA's:

- Strong link with the federal government, which the government's ongoing financial support for the authority's operations demonstrates, in our view.
- Important role via the FNFA's statutory mandate and public policy role to facilitate access to capital by First Nations. Also supporting our assessment of the authority's important role is the political visibility of First Nations' issues generally, and the considerable need for capital on First Nations' land.

First Nations Finance Authority--Selected Indicators

(Mil. C\$)	--Year ended March 31--				
	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014
Business position					
Total adjusted assets	542.5	368.2	279.3	123.4	67.8
Customer loans (gross)	453.5	308.7	246	103.4	54.1
Growth in loans (%)	47	25.4	138	90.9	N.A.
Net interest revenues	0.1	(0.1)	0.4	0.2	0.1
Noninterest expenses	2.8	2.5	2.6	2.5	2.3
Capital and risk position					
Total liabilities	509.6	346.7	268.4	113	57.4
Total adjusted capital	54.5	36.2	22.5	15.2	12.7
Assets/capital	10	10.2	12.4	8.1	5.3
RAC ratio before diversification	118.7	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
RAC ratio after diversification	32	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
Gross nonperforming assets/gross loans	0	0	0	0	0
Funding and liquidity					
Liquidity ratio with loan disbursement (one year)	0.8	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
Liquidity ratio without loan disbursement (one year)	1.3	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
Funding ratio (one year)	1.1	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.

RAC--Risk-adjusted capital. N.A.--Not available.

Related Criteria

- Criteria - Governments - International Public Finance: Public-Sector Funding Agencies: Methodology And Assumptions, May 22, 2018
- Criteria - Financial Institutions - General: Risk-Adjusted Capital Framework Methodology, July 20, 2017
- General Criteria: Rating Government-Related Entities: Methodology And Assumptions, March 25, 2015
- General Criteria: Use Of CreditWatch And Outlooks, Sept. 14, 2009

Related Research

- Assumptions For Liquidity Gap Analysis Under "Public-Sector Funding Agencies: Methodology And Assumptions"
- Credit FAQ: A Closer Look At The New Public-Sector Funding Agencies Criteria, May 22, 2018
- 10 Public-Sector Funding Agencies Placed Under Criteria Observation On Publication Of New Criteria, May 22, 2018

Ratings List

Upgraded

	To	From
First Nations Finance Authority		
Issuer credit rating	A+/Stable/--	A-/Stable/--
Senior secured	A+	A-

Certain terms used in this report, particularly certain adjectives used to express our view on rating relevant factors, have specific meanings ascribed to them in our criteria, and should therefore be read in conjunction with such criteria. Please see Ratings Criteria at www.standardandpoors.com for further information. Complete ratings information is available to subscribers of RatingsDirect at www.capitaliq.com. All ratings affected by this rating action can be found on S&P Global Ratings' public website at www.standardandpoors.com. Use the Ratings search box located in the left column.

Copyright © 2018 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives. Rating-related publications may be published for a variety of reasons that are not necessarily dependent on action by rating committees, including, but not limited to, the publication of a periodic update on a credit rating and related analyses.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw or suspend such acknowledgment at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain non-public information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com and www.globalcreditportal.com (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.

STANDARD & POOR'S, S&P and RATINGSDIRECT are registered trademarks of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC.